#### THE EXECUTIVE

#### 22 MARCH 2005

#### REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

SOCIAL SERVICES CHARGING AND BENCHMARK PRICES FOR 2005 / 2006	FOR DECISION		

This report requires a decision in respect of the level of charging and payments for a variety of Social Care Services in 2005 / 2006.

#### **Summary**

This report reviews the level of charging for Social Care Services for 2005 /2006 and proposes Benchmark Prices for Care placements. The level of increase in charges to users from the proposed recommendations amount to an average of 3%.

#### Recommendations

The Executive is asked to:

- 1. Endorse Option 1 for the level and range of non-residential home care charging at Paragraph 4.2 and 4.3 of the report;
- 2. Endorse Supporting People Charging as outlined in paragraph 6.2 and 6.3 of the report;
- 3. Endorse the level of charge for Welfare Meals as per paragraph 8.4 of the report;
- 4. Agree the Residential and Day Care charges for other Local Authorities (and full payers) together with benchmark prices alluded to at Paragraph 10.5, 10.6 and 10.7 of the report; and
- 5. Agree the level of charges for Nursery Places at Paragraph 9.1 of the report.

#### Reason

To implement the required changes to the above charging from the 11th April 2005 (the date at which state benefits are increased), except for Nurseries from 1 May.

Contact Officers:		
		Tel: 020 8227 2300
Julia Ross	Director of Social Services	Fax: 020 8227 2241
		Minicom: 020 8592 5363
		E-mail: julia.ross@lbbd.gov.uk

Steven Forbes	Head of Older People's Services	Tel: 020 8227 2331 Fax: 020 8227 2241 Minicom: 020 8592 5363 Email: <u>steve.forbes@lbbd.gov.uk</u>
Steve Whitelock	Head of Finance - Social Services	Tel: 020 8227 2834 Fax: 020 8227 2241 Minicom: 020 8592 5363 E-mail: steve.whitelock@lbbd.gov.uk

# 1. Background - Social Services Charging

- 1.1 This report takes forward previous reports to the Executive on charging for Social Care services. It presents options and makes recommendations regarding levels of charges for the 2005/6 financial year.
- 1.2 There is statutory guidance "Fairer Charging" on Councils in deciding on charges for any non-residential services. The Guidance was issued under Section 7 of the Local Authority Social Services Act 1970, as such the Guidance is mandatory and must be followed if the Council implements a non-residential charging scheme.
- 1.3 To recap, the framework described by the guidance includes a number of key points, the main ones being:-
  - The Fairer Charging guidance requires non-residential charges to take account of both the users ability to pay and the level of service received.
  - The level of charge should be set in order to prevent service users net disposable income from falling below basic levels of Income Support plus a 25% buffer (£137 per week for 2005/6). Service users with an assessed weekly income below this level **should not be charged**. This requirement in effect necessitates the need for a form of means test to be undertaken.
  - Full account should be taken of an individual's disability related expenditure when
    assessing net income available for charging. Previous charging policies had
    assumed disability benefits are a form of income to pay charges. It is strongly
    argued by User Groups that these allowances are entirely to meet the additional
    costs related to disabilities (i.e. heating, clothing, aids and equipment) and not to
    pay for care.
- 1.4 The Fairer Charging Guidance is very similar in its principles to the Council's Charging Policy Commission. The Council set up a Policy Commission on Charging in 2001. The commission recommended that full cost recovery charges should be levied wherever possible, and where powers exist for all services except where subsidies are agreed to meet community priorities.

# 2. Current Charging Policy and (Income Base)

- 2.1 Social Services charging policy was revisited in 2003 / 2004, with the introduction of new guidance eluded to at 1.2 (Fairer Charging) This was informed by a Consultation process with Users. It is not proposed to change the policy this year, and only to review levels.
- 2.2 Income levels from Service Users are not large, being in the order of £200k for home care and £4m for residential and nursing care. In context, total gross expenditure in Social Services is in the region of £110m.
- 2.3 The current charges for **Home Care services** are:

Bands of Services	Charge 2004/2005
0 to 2 hours per week	£16.00 per week
2 to 10 hours per week	£18.50 per week
10 hours plus per week	£21.00 per week
Variable – Full paying Service Users	£7.50 per hour of service

- 2.4 **Welfare meals** (meals on wheels) are provided to approximately 360 clients per week, by Appetito Catering Services and the current charge to the Service User is £1.98 per meal.
- 2.5 **Residential care charges** are governed by statute (National Assistance Act 1948), whereby service users are financially assessed (including their properties) to pay towards their care. The charges levied on Users range from between £87 and £515 per week. The full charge also applies to other Local Authorities who place people in Barking & Dagenham establishments, although this is rare.
- 2.6 Charging can also be viewed as a component to contribute to service change and promoting inclusion and independence. Current Policy is that the department only charges for traditional residential and home care services, not for supported or sheltered employment, or for forms of independence building support.
- 2.7 There are some services Social Services currently do not charge for, these are Transport, Day Centres and Clubs, and Mental Health services. The Council also has the power under Schedule 3 of the Childrens Act 1989 to make a charge for Childrens Services. At present (in line with the vast majority of Boroughs) the council does not charge, but retains the option to charge in appropriate cases.

# 3. Ability to Pay and Assessment Process ("Means Testing")

3.1 Social Services provide services to some of the most disadvantaged residents in the Borough. Consultation found that many clients find the financial assessments required under the statutory guidance as being intrusive and confusing. Complex means testing is also labour intensive and has its own costs, which may outweigh the income

- generated, (particularly in a Borough where residents have demonstrably low incomes, poor health and high disability levels).
- 3.2 Therefore, given the above factors it was agreed that the financial process and assessment adopted include the following aspects:-
  - 75% of a users disability benefits will be disregarded for charging purposes, to go
    towards their additional disability related expenditure. Service users have the option
    of asking for a full review if they feel that their disability related expenditure is
    greater than this. This is not un-common in low income Boroughs.
  - There are approximately 10 questions to ascertain a client's weekly income, largely in a simple tick-box format.
  - In line with residential care statutory guidelines for 2005/6, a service user with in excess of £20,500 capital (i.e. savings and investments) will be assessed to pay the full *cost* of their home care services (based on current average unit costs). Approximately 5% of Service Users fall into this category.
- 3.2 Members are advised that the above policy and approach, has greatly assisted Users in completing their assessment forms, and has kept financial administration to a minimum.

# 4. Charging Levels for Home Care – Options

- 4.1 Home care income reduced with the introduction of Fairer Charging, due to the number of service users falling into the non-paying income support levels. Currently, approximately 75% of current service users do not pay and receive a full subsidy. This is not un-common in low income Boroughs, some neighbouring Boroughs do not levy a charge at all, as the costs of the assessment and collection process outweigh the revenue collected.
- 4.2 Three options for Home Care charges are provided for illustrative purposes. It is recommended to go for Option 1, which represents an average increase of 2.75% per week for the 164 users assessed to pay, and an increase of £0.25p per hour for full payers (approx 59, which equates to approximately 3%). Option 3 is based upon a full cost recovery and could equate to circa 200% increase in some cases, this is included for indicative purposes only, and is included to give an indication of the levels of charge required for full cost recovery. It is not viable due to service user income levels in the Borough.

Option 1 – increase current charges by 2.75% average across bands.

		<u> </u>			
Hours of	Charge Per	Subsidy	Service	Annual	Estimated
Service	Week	Per Week	Users	Income	Subsidy
Per Week	£	£		£'000	pa
					£'000
Up to 2 hours	16.50	3.50	7	6	1
2 to 10 hours	19.00	31.00	92	91	148
10 hours plus	21.50	128.50	65	73	434
	TOTAL		164	170	583

# Option 2 – increase current charges by an average rate of 5% across bands.

		<u> </u>		J	
Hours of	Charge Per	Subsidy	Service	Annual	Estimated
Service	Week	Per Week	Users	Income	Subsidy
Per Week -	£	£		£'000	pa
Bands					£'000
Up to 2 hours	17.00	3.00	7	6	1
2 to 10 hours	19.50	30.50	92	93	146
10 hours plus	22.00	128.00	65	74	433
	TOTAL		164	171	582

**Option 3 – Full Cost Recovery** 

Hours of	Charge Per	Subsidy	Service	Annual	Estimated
Service	Week	Per Week	Users	Income	Subsidy
Per Week	£	£		£'000	ра
					£'000
Up to 2 hours	20.00	0.00	7	7	0
2 to 10 hours	50.00	0.00	92	239	0
10 hours plus	150.00	0.00	65	507	0
	TOTAL		164	753	0

It should be noted that even if Members chose to adopt Option 3, because of the means test, actual income collection would not be significantly different to 1-2. Assessment information has indicated that approximately 75% of clients who are assessed to pay have less than £30 of assessable income to pay charges.

# 5. Other Service Areas (currently not charged)

# 5.1 Transport Services and Day Care

It is not recommended by Social Services that Policy changes, and charges be made for transport and day centres at this stage (approximately 100 clients). The Fleet Operators have previously advised that under the Public Passenger Vehicle Act 1981, the direct or indirect charging for transport services will contravene current fleet licensing regulations. It is also estimated that charging in this area will result in minimal income due to the assessable income of the client groups (possibly 15 to 25 clients only). The majority of them who could pay will be assessed to pay for home care services also. This service to Users is thus subsidised fully, in the order of £800k per annum.

## 5.2 Frail Elderly Centres

The Council is commissioning approximately 120 places at 5 Frail Elderly Centres since May 2003, the majority (estimated at approximately 80%+) of frail centre users will also be receiving home care and are thus being assessed accordingly for those services. A separate charge would not raise significant income due to clients not having sufficient disposable income to pay (if anything) on top of their home care charge of up to £22. This service to a very frail elderly client group is thus subsidised fully by approximately £100 per week (approximately £650k per annum).

## 5.3 Mental Health Day Care Clients

Under Section 117 of the Mental Health Act 1983, it is not permissible to charge mental health clients for services (deemed as aftercare services). A significant number of clients fall under the provision of S117. It is also proposed to continue to not charge the remaining non S.117 mental health clients. They are not receiving the traditional homecare services as other client groups. The service is more a "bridge to independence", and a "key to engagement" with the emphasis on maintaining a contact with clients who might otherwise disengage. A charge for such services is likely to discourage service users from engaging. It is estimated that the costs of this subsidy is in the order of £20k per annum.

5.4 All areas of non-charging will be reviewed during 2005/6 and annually for reconsideration.

# 6. Supporting People

- 6.1 Supporting People is the national government programme aimed at developing a strategic, integrated policy and funding framework for providing "housing-related support" to vulnerable adults. It is a relatively new funding regime drawing together various legacy funding sources (including Transitional Housing Benefit, Supporting Housing Management Grant, Probation Accommodation Grant, and Home Improvement Agency) to form the Supporting People Grant (SPG). A grant of approximately £5m is paid to a range of service providers e.g. LBBD Housing, Outlook Care, London Quadrant) via block gross contracts for short-term support services and block subsidy contracts for long-term support services.
- 6.2 Short-term schemes are exempt from charging and funded through block gross contracts. Long-term service users who receive Housing Benefit are also not charged for Supporting People services. Where long-term service users are not receiving benefits, they are eligible for client charging. The contracts will be paid to the providers net of the estimated income collected from clients. Collection of charges will remain with the service provider, and the financial assessment of clients to pay charges is aligned with Fairer Charging Guidance. This applies to only a small proportion of clients (approximately 5% 10%), the majority are older people in sheltered housing who will be paying charges which range from £2 to £46, depending on the diverse range of support services offered by each provider. The charge is based on full cost recovery.

- 6.3 People living in Council sheltered accommodation are receiving a Supporting People service and this includes the warden and Careline service. The funding for these services has been un-pooled from the Housing Revenue Account and is paid as SP subsidy at a standard rate of £12.77 per unit per week.
- 6.4 Existing tenants prior to the inception of the Supporting People regime as of the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003 have been transitionally protected to ensure that they were not worse off due to loss of HB or introduction of new charging. The length of the transitional protection is locally determined and lasts until the first service review (prior to April 2006), at which time we can assess the funding needed to protect people for the duration of the tenancy.

## 7. Welfare Benefits Advice

7.1 We have had considerable success with Independent Sector partners in increasing benefits uptake in recent years. Social Services has a service agreement with the Disablement Association to provide benefits advice to Borough residents and service users. We will continue to work with partners to ensure service users maximise the uptake of benefits.

#### 8. Welfare Meals

- 8.1 In line with other charging it is proposed to increase the charge to service users for Welfare Meals. Currently Appetito (External Meals Provider) is providing an estimated 128,800 meals (full year figure) and their charge for 2003/4 was £4.05 per meal to the Council. Approximately 360 service users benefit from the service. The charge to Social Services is determined in accord with demand levels, as per the contract.
- 8.2 Currently service users pay £1.98 per meal (which represented a £2.07 subsidy per meal). The meals service is categorised as 'moderate plus' service in terms of "Fair Access to Care Eligibility Criteria", i.e. a service that should be provided to prevent a person falling into critical / substantial care needs, thus justifying the subsidy levels in the region of £260,000 per annum.
- 8.3 Appetito have increased the charge for their meals in accordance with demand levels and allowable inflation from September 2004 (the anniversary of the contract) and the price is now £4.12 per meal.
- 8.4 Members are recommended to apply to service users a 3.5% increase from £1.98 to £2.05, in effect matching Appetito's increase of 7p to the Council, which would maintain current subsidy levels at just over £2 per meal.

## 9. Nursery Charges

9.1 It is necessary to review Nursery Place Charges to working parents at Eastbury and Kingsley Hall Nursery – It is proposed to increase the current charge of £140 pw to £145 per week from May 1st (increase 3.5%). This is felt acceptable in the current "market" and is further supported by the benefits available to working parents to help towards such costs.

# 10. Charges to Full Cost Fee Payers and Other Local Authorities for LBB&D Residential and Day Care Places in 2005/6 and Benchmark Prices.

- 10.1 It is necessary to determine the charge to be applied to other local authorities on the rare occasions where their residents occupy places in the Council's residential homes and day centres. The charge also applies to a relatively small number of London Borough of Barking & Dagenham residents (approximately 5%) who are statutorily financially assessed to pay full costs for their residential care.
- 10.2 Basically the method of calculating the charge is the estimated running costs of the homes divided by the number of places provided (i.e. a full cost recovery basis), subject to a statutory means test for contributions under the National Assistance Act 1948.
- 10.3 Proposed Charges for 2005/6
- 10.4 The proposed charges are based on the principle mentioned in 10.2 above. Previous advice of the Director of Finance Officer that all income estimates should be increased in aggregate of at least inflation has also been a salient factor in determining charges.
- 10.5 The proposed charges are summarised below (they will affect approximately a dozen clients), and will come into effect from 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2005, the increases range between 2.4% to 4.9%.

	Present Charge	Proposed Charge
Elderly Residential Homes	£505 pw	£530 pw
Learning Disability Res. Homes	£726 pw	£754 pw
Mental Health Day Centres	£29 per day	£ 30 pw
Gascoigne Centres	£50 per day	£ 52 pw
Heathlands	£123 per day	£126 pw*

<sup>\*</sup> Up to 3 sessions per day (at £42 each)

## 10.6 Benchmark Prices 2005 / 2006

With regard to Nursing Homes, this Authority has a 'bench-mark' price of £515 per week. This is the limit at which the Authority would normally pay for nursing home accommodation, and the level at which if exceeded, residents' relatives would normally be asked to 'top up' charges. Given current resources, demand and market conditions, also after consultation with the Head of Older People's Services, Placements and Commissioning Managers, it is recommended that Members endorse a benchmark price of £530 (+2.9%) for 2005/2006. This will assist discharge from hospital, and prevent un-necessary fining by the Hospital Trusts.

- 10.7 It is also proposed to increase the benchmark price for Residential Care Homes from £406 to £420 (i.e. a 3.4% increase). Again this is the limit at which the Authority would normally pay for older people's residential accommodation, and the level at which, if exceeded, residents' relatives would normally be asked to 'top up' charges. The market for residential care beds is becoming competitive, the increase in benchmark should allow the department to continue to place clients speedily and local.
- 10.8 The benchmark prices also act as guidelines and limits for packages of community care, in accord with the Department's Scheme of Delegation.
- 10.9 The department has recently reviewed its standard contract for residential care placements, in particular clauses regarding annual price increases, and in future years benchmark increases will be governed by the appropriate inflation indices.

## **Background Papers**

- Department of Health Charging for Residential Accommodation Guide
- National Assistance Act 1948 (Assessment of Resources Amendment) Regulation 2002
- Fairer Charging Statutory Guidance Department of Health 2001 / 2002